Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/020,922	YASAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Walter B. Aughenbaugh.	(3)	
(2) <u>Eugene Perez</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 April 2005	Time: <u>~4:30PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
none		
Claims discussed:		
	•	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	,	
Mak Bly (
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested a copy of the abstract submitted July 28, 2003 (abstract submitted July 28, 2003 could not be located in the IFW file). Eugene Perez faxed a copy of said abstract to Examiner, and Examiner proposed Examiner's amendments to the abstract as detailed in the Supplemental Examiner's Amendment.